## **RARE DISEASES**

# Lafora disease — from pathogenesis to treatment strategies

*Felix Nitschke*<sup>1</sup>, *Saija J. Ahonen*<sup>1</sup>, *Silvia Nitschke*<sup>1</sup>, *Sharmistha Mitra*<sup>2</sup> and *Berge A. Minassian*<sup>1,2\*</sup>

Abstract | Lafora disease is a severe, autosomal recessive, progressive myoclonus epilepsy. The disease usually manifests in previously healthy adolescents, and death commonly occurs within 10 years of symptom onset. Lafora disease is caused by loss-of-function mutations in EPM2A or NHLRC1, which encode laforin and malin, respectively. The absence of either protein results in poorly branched, hyperphosphorylated glycogen, which precipitates, aggregates and accumulates into Lafora bodies. Evidence from Lafora disease genetic mouse models indicates that these intracellular inclusions are a principal driver of neurodegeneration and neurological disease. The integration of current knowledge on the function of laforin-malin as an interacting complex suggests that laforin recruits malin to parts of glycogen molecules where overly long glucose chains are forming, so as to counteract further chain extension. In the absence of either laforin or malin function, long glucose chains in specific glycogen molecules extrude water, form double helices and drive precipitation of those molecules, which over time accumulate into Lafora bodies. In this article, we review the genetic, clinical, pathological and molecular aspects of Lafora disease. We also discuss traditional antiseizure treatments for this condition, as well as exciting therapeutic advances based on the downregulation of brain glycogen synthesis and disease gene replacement.

Lafora disease (Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) #254780) is a rare autosomal recessive and severe form of progressive myoclonus epilepsy. After onset, which usually occurs during late childhood or early adolescence, Lafora disease is invariably fatal, typically within 10 years<sup>1,2</sup>. The condition was first described by Lafora and Glück over 100 years ago<sup>3</sup>. A post-mortem study showed profuse accumulation of small inclusion bodies in many tissues, including the brain. These inclusions, subsequently termed Lafora bodies, became the hallmark of the disease. They were shown to be composed primarily of abnormal glycogen<sup>4</sup>, placing Lafora disease in the context of glycogen metabolism disorders.

The affected genes in Lafora disease — *EPM2A*, which encodes laforin glucan phosphatase (henceforth termed laforin) and *NHLRC1* (also known as *EPM2B*), which encodes E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 1 (henceforth termed malin) — were discovered only two decades  $ago^{5-7}$  (FIG. 1). The exact roles of laforin and malin in glycogen metabolism are still under investigation. However, recent progress affords an improved understanding of the disease mechanisms, leading to the identification of new therapeutic avenues for what is arguably the severest of the epilepsies.

This Review provides a broad overview of Lafora disease, including its clinical features, genetics and existing management strategies. Our current understanding of Lafora disease pathogenesis is outlined, laying the groundwork for discussion of new disease-mechanism-based therapeutic strategies.

## **Clinical features of Lafora disease**

At the time of onset, Lafora disease is difficult to distinguish from idiopathic generalized epilepsies8. Apparently healthy older children or teenagers start having seizures, which can initially be controlled with antiepileptic drugs (AEDs)<sup>8,9</sup>. Several types of seizure typically occur in patients with Lafora disease, including myoclonic, occipital, generalized tonic-clonic, absence and atonic seizures<sup>10,11</sup>. In retrospect, parents often recall that their child had experienced isolated febrile or nonfebrile seizures earlier in childhood<sup>10</sup>. Additional symptoms in the first few years comprise behavioural changes, confusion, depression, dysarthria, ataxia and intellectual decline (BOX 1). Over time, the seizures become more frequent and increasingly intractable. Behavioural and cognitive deterioration increases, gradually leading to dementia. Eventually, the patient enters a vegetative state with continuous myoclonus and requires tube feeding

<sup>1</sup>Program in Genetics and Genome Biology, The Hospital for Sick Children Research Institute, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

<sup>2</sup>Division of Neurology, Department of Pediatrics, University of Texas Southwestern, Dallas, TX, USA.

\*e-mail: berge.minassian@ utsouthwestern.edu https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41582-018-0057-0

#### Key points

- Lafora disease, a lethal, autosomal recessive, progressive myoclonus epilepsy, is caused by loss-of-function mutations in *EPM2A* or *NHLRC1*, which encode laforin and malin, respectively.
- A large variety of pathogenic variants in *EPM2A* and *NHLRC1* exists, with even distribution across both genes; variants include missense, nonsense and frameshift mutations, as well as larger deletions.
- Laforin and malin are implicated in glycogen metabolism and are presented as part of a glycogen quality control mechanism, which decreases the risk of precipitation of individual glycogen molecules.
- In the absence of a functional laforin–malin complex, structurally abnormal glycogen becomes insoluble and accumulates as Lafora bodies, which drive disease progression in the brain.
- The lack of curative treatments and the current understanding of pathogenesis are driving investigations into a variety of therapeutic strategies for Lafora disease, including reduction in brain glycogen synthesis and replacement of the non-functional gene.

and artificial respiration. Death commonly results from status epilepticus or aspiration pneumonia and other complications of chronic neurodegeneration<sup>2,11</sup>.

#### Genetics

Many types of pathogenic variant have been identified in the genes that encode laforin and malin, including missense, nonsense and frameshift mutations, as well as some larger deletions, particularly in *EPM2A*<sup>12</sup>. Human laforin consists of two functional domains: an amino-terminal family 20 carbohydrate-binding module (CBM20) and a carboxy-terminal dual-specificity phosphatase (DSP) domain. Malin is an E3 ubiquitin ligase containing a RING domain and six NHL repeats, both of which are typical for this family of enzymes (FIG. 1). In general, mutations in *EPM2A* and *NHLRC1* are distributed evenly across both genes, with no particular clustering in the functional domains mentioned above (see The Lafora Progressive Myoclonus Epilepsy Mutation and Polymorphism Database<sup>13</sup>).

Studies have shown that certain mutations within the DSP domain of laforin compromise its phosphatase activity, which might render the protein pathogenic<sup>14,15</sup>. However, two independent studies demonstrated that phosphatase activity is not required to rescue laforindeficient mice from Lafora disease<sup>16,17</sup>. Evidently, in many cases, other properties of laforin, including glycogen binding, subcellular localization and the interaction with malin or protein phosphatase 1 regulatory subunit 3 C (PP1 subunit R5; also known as PTG), are additionally affected by DSP mutations<sup>14,15,18,19</sup>. For example, mutations in the DSP, such as Gly279Ser, Gln293Leu, Tyr294Asn and Pro301Leu<sup>14</sup>, can lead to decreased glycogen binding, although the CBM20 domain is not directly affected. Conversely, mutations within the CBM20, such as Trp32Gly, Phe84Leu and Arg108Cys<sup>14</sup>, can lead to loss of phosphatase activity. Together, these findings clearly show that the overall effect of a mutation on laforin function cannot be deduced solely from the mutation site. Furthermore, the role of laforin in glycogen metabolism and Lafora disease is not confined to its function as a phosphatase.

In a few patients with Lafora disease, sequence analysis of *EPM2A* and *NHLRC1* coding regions reveals no

mutation in either gene<sup>20-23</sup>. In such cases, the disease could be caused by mutations in non-coding regulatory regions, such as promoter or intronic regions<sup>20,24</sup>. Mutations in non-coding regions might also explain some cases in which only one heterozygous mutation in the coding region could be identified<sup>23</sup>. Patients who carried an apparently homozygous mutation in NHLRC1 but had one parent who lacked mutations in this gene were found to have large deletions in one allele, which were undetectable by PCR25. In addition, disease-causing mutations in a third locus have been proposed in cases in which causative involvement of EPM2A or NHLRC1 was excluded<sup>24</sup>. Further analysis revealed a mutation in the PR domain zinc-finger protein 8 gene (PRDM8) in a single family<sup>26</sup>. Of note, patients with *PRDM8* mutations presented with an atypical form of Lafora disease, characterized by early childhood onset and negative skin (but positive muscle) biopsies<sup>26</sup>. Finally, some patients with no EPM2A or NHLRC1 mutations might not actually have Lafora disease but were misdiagnosed on the basis of a false-positive skin biopsy (see 'Diagnostic strategy' section below). Therefore, it seems likely that essentially all classic cases of Lafora disease can be explained by mutations in EPM2A or NHLRC1.

The clinical features of classic Lafora disease are similar in most patients<sup>10,12</sup>, although the time of onset and the rate of disease progression can vary substantially<sup>25,27</sup>. In the past, attempts have been made to establish genotype–phenotype correlations<sup>12,18</sup>. One example is the early-onset learning disorder phenotype in some patients with Lafora disease who harbour a mutation in exon 1 of *EPM2A*<sup>18,28</sup>, although not all patients with such mutations have this atypical subsyndrome<sup>21,29</sup>. Another example is the observation that patients with *NHLRC1* mutations — in particular, the Asp146Asn mutation — tend to live longer than those with *EPM2A* mutations have very severe phenotypes<sup>29,32–34</sup>.

What factors make genotype-phenotype correlation studies so difficult? The fact that Lafora disease is rare and shows considerable mutational heterogeneity, with more than 90 known pathogenic variants in EPM2A and almost 80 in NHLRC1 (REF.13), hampers progress in understanding the genetic epidemiology of the condition. Various combinations of compound heterozygosity for mutations<sup>12,20,29,35</sup> further complicate correlation studies. In addition, the quality of available care has a strong impact on the frequency of disease complications and survival and varies between countries and health systems<sup>10,12,18</sup>. Moreover, evidence indicates that genetic factors other than the disease-causing EPM2A or NHLRC1 mutations, such as modifier genes, can substantially modulate the course of the disease<sup>36</sup>. For example, of two siblings with homozygous exon 1 mutations in EPM2A, only one presented with the early-onset learning disorder described above, even though both siblings carried the same pathogenic variant<sup>35</sup>. Another example is the highly variable age of onset among siblings carrying the same mutation<sup>25,27</sup>. Candidate factors that influence the pathogenesis of Lafora disease include interacting partners of laforin and/or malin. Indeed, a variant of PP1 subunit R5 — a protein that usually interacts with the



Fig. 1 | **Causative mutations in Lafora disease.** *EPM2A* and *NHLRC1* encode laforin and malin, respectively. Both genes are located on chromosome 6. Laforin contains an amino-terminal family 20 carbohydrate-binding module (CBM20) and a carboxy-terminal dual-specificity phosphatase (DSP) domain. Malin contains RING and NHL domains, which are typical for E3 ubiquitin ligases. Mutations — usually missense, nonsense or frameshift — in either of the two genes cause Lafora disease.

laforin-malin complex — was suggested to be responsible for a milder course of Lafora disease<sup>37</sup>. This protein variant exhibits reduced function, and its effects in humans are consistent with the observation that knockout of the gene encoding PP1 subunit R5 leads to rescue of the Lafora disease phenotype in mice<sup>38</sup>.

#### Pathogenesis

Understanding the pathogenesis of Lafora disease depends on providing a mechanistic link between a deficiency in laforin or malin and the neurodegenerative disease. Studies of the pathological hallmark of the disease, the Lafora bodies, provided insight into the affected metabolic pathways even before the genetic causes were found; Lafora bodies were classified as polyglucosan bodies, as they are largely composed of glucan chains and, hence, are chemically similar to glycogen<sup>4</sup> (FIG. 2a). Intriguingly, in contrast to cytosolic glycogen, these polyglucosans are water-insoluble. The exact roles of laforin and malin are still under investigation, but they are likely to be involved in processes that prevent the accumulation of insoluble glycogen-like particles (FIG. 2b). The sections that follow discuss Lafora body accumulation as the cause of Lafora disease, review the proposed roles of laforin and malin in glycogen metabolism (BOX 2) and propose a model of Lafora disease pathogenesis whereby laforin and malin act as part of a glycogen quality control mechanism to prevent glycogen insolubility.

### Lafora bodies

The accumulation of dense cytoplasmic aggregates is a feature of many neurodegenerative diseases, including Parkinson disease and Alzheimer disease<sup>39,40</sup>, and the accumulation of Lafora bodies seems to be the primary cause of Lafora disease progression. This conclusion has been supported by several studies in mouse models of Lafora disease, which lack either laforin or malin and recapitulate the human disease<sup>41,42</sup>. Genetic approaches that reduce or abolish glycogen synthesis prevent Lafora body formation and rescue other features of the Lafora disease phenotype in mice, including autophagy

impairment, neurodegeneration and seizure susceptibility<sup>38,43,44</sup>. In addition, overexpression or increased activation of the glycogen-synthesizing enzyme glycogen synthase in the presence of laforin and malin can result in polyglucosan body formation, as well as downstream effects such as impaired autophagy and neurodegeneration<sup>43,45</sup>. Evidence of a link between impaired autophagy and neurodegeneration<sup>46–48</sup> completes the current view on the pathogenesis of Lafora disease. The roles of laforin and malin in glycogen metabolism need to be unravelled to enable us to understand the mechanisms that lead to Lafora body formation and accumulation.

#### The role of laforin as a phosphatase

CBM20s are found in various glucan chain-binding proteins across many species<sup>49,50</sup>, and the presence of this module in laforin places this protein in the context of glycogen metabolism (BOX 2; FIGS. 1,2c). Laforin is known to bind to glycogen<sup>51</sup>, but how it prevents glycogen insolubility and protects against Lafora disease is open to question.

The identification of a DSP in laforin and the fact that protein phosphorylation has a substantial role in the regulation of the chain-elongating enzyme glycogen synthase implicated laforin in the regulation of glycogen synthesis. Glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3) is activated by dephosphorylation and itself phosphorylates and inactivates glycogen synthase<sup>52</sup>. Yeast twohybrid and cell culture overexpression experiments showed that laforin interacts with and dephosphorylates the muscle isoform of GSK3 (REF.<sup>53</sup>). A defect in laforin, resulting in insufficient GSK3 activation, might therefore lead to abnormally high glycogen synthase activity. However, no increase in glycogen synthase activity could be demonstrated in a laforin-deficient mouse model of Lafora disease<sup>42</sup>.

Another interesting discovery was the ability of laforin to dephosphorylate glycogen (FIG. 2c). Over 30 years ago, glycogen was discovered to contain small amounts of covalently bound phosphate<sup>54</sup>. The role of glycogen phosphate gained importance when laforin was shown to act as a glucan phosphatase<sup>55,56</sup>. Glycogen in Lafora disease mice was found to have elevated levels of covalently bound phosphate and to contain an abnormally high proportion of long glucan chains<sup>57,58</sup>. On the basis of these observations, it was hypothesized that an excess of glycogen phosphate lies at the root of Lafora disease pathogenesis, and that laforin keeps glycogen phosphate levels low to prevent abnormal glycogen structure, glycogen insolubility and, hence, Lafora disease<sup>59</sup>. However, more recent studies contradict this hypothesis by showing that the laforin-deficient Lafora disease mouse model can be rescued by overexpression of laforin with a mutated DSP lacking phosphatase activity. In fact, the glycogen in the rescued mice was still hyperphosphorylated, as in the laforin knockout, but the chain length was normal, Lafora bodies were absent, and the behavioural phenotype was normalized<sup>16,17</sup>. These findings largely excluded glycogen hyperphosphorylation as the underlying cause of Lafora disease.

#### Box 1 | Lafora disease symptoms

The first 2–3 years of Lafora disease are characterized by the following symptoms:

- Ataxia
- Confusion
- Depression
- Grand mal seizures
- Staring spells and/or absence seizures
- Drop in school performance
- Drop attacks
- Myoclonus
- Visual hallucinations
- Headaches
- Dysarthria

### The laforin-malin interaction

Investigations into the role of laforin in cell metabolism revealed several interaction partners for this protein, some of which were related to endoplasmic reticulum stress<sup>60</sup>, protein clearance<sup>61,62</sup>, iron homeostasis<sup>63</sup> or tumour suppression<sup>64</sup>. Besides GSK3, additional laforin interaction partners with a close connection to glycogen metabolism were identified, including glycogen synthase <sup>55</sup>, subunits R4, R5 and R6 of the glycogen synthase activator PP1 (REFS<sup>14,55,65</sup>) and AMP-activated kinase (AMPK), a protein that is involved in glycogen phosphorylase regulation. The array of putative interaction partners implies a complex role for laforin, involving multiple functions<sup>66</sup>.

The interaction of laforin with malin is of particular interest and has been supported by a large number of studies<sup>14,53,65,67,68</sup>. The existence of a patient mutation that causes Lafora disease merely through the loss of the laforin-malin interaction69 emphasizes the relevance of this interaction in vivo. Moreover, the similar clinical progression in patients with EPM2A and NHLRC1 mutations is consistent with a crucial role for both proteins in a single functional complex. The laforinmalin complex was demonstrated to incorporate lysine 63 (K63)-linked polyubiquitin chains<sup>19,70,71</sup>, which principally promote autophagic inclusion and degradation of ubiquitylated targets72-74. In agreement with evidence for proteasomal degradation of laforin-malin targets in the cytosol<sup>65,69,75</sup>, this finding implies that targets of the laforin-malin complex are generally subjected to degradation. Interestingly, the targets of malin-mediated ubiquitylation that have been demonstrated ex vivo include glycogen synthase<sup>69</sup> and PP1 subunit R5 (REF.<sup>65</sup>). Glycogen synthase drives glycogen chain elongation, and PP1 subunit R5 indirectly activates glycogen synthase by targeting PP1 to glycogen<sup>76</sup>. Therefore, both proteins help to determine a pivotal aspect of glycogen structure - the balance between chain elongation and branching (FIG. 2c).

#### The importance of glycogen structure

Elongation and branching of glucan chains, as well as chain degradation and debranching, are enzymatic features that are not restricted to glycogen metabolism but are also involved in starch metabolism in plants<sup>77</sup>. Glycogen and amylopectin, the main component of plant starch, are both polyglucans78,79 (FIG. 2a). Unlike plant starch, however, glycogen is normally water-soluble. Glycogen and amylopectin both consist of glucan chains with a wide distribution of chain lengths<sup>17,80</sup>, but the average chain length is generally higher in amylopectin than in glycogen<sup>81</sup>. In glycogen, branching points are essentially distributed evenly, whereas in amylopectin, they are arranged in clusters<sup>82,83</sup>, giving rise to regions with fewer branching points where neighbouring glucan chains form double helices. In turn, these structures form semi-crystalline layers that render the entire starch granule water-insoluble<sup>81,83,84</sup>. Interestingly, genetically engineered plants with impaired clustering of branching points produce a soluble form of polyglucan, termed phytoglycogen<sup>85,86</sup>. Thus, the frequency of branching points, which is controlled by the concerted action of glycogen synthase and glycogen branching enzyme (GBE) in the case of glycogen metabolism, seems to determine the water solubility of a polyglucan<sup>87</sup> (FIG. 2c).

Glycogen synthase is regulated post-translationally through complex mechanisms involving phosphorylation and allosteric effectors<sup>88</sup>, which allow a fine-tuned balance of chain elongation and branching. In mice with laforin or malin deficiency, glycogen shows structural abnormalities consisting of substantially increased proportions of long glucan chains<sup>58</sup>, suggesting a role for the laforin–malin complex in the regulation of glycogen chain elongation.

#### Glycogen quality control

The laforin-malin complex is thought to downregulate glycogen chain elongation, probably by targeting both glycogen synthase and PP1 subunit R5, which is involved in glycogen synthase activation, to degradation<sup>65,69</sup>. Accordingly, in Lafora disease, insufficient downregulation of this process would lead to an imbalance of elongation and branching reactions, resulting in overly long glucan chains, double-helix formation and, hence, glycogen insolubility and deposition as Lafora bodies. However, studies showing that levels of glycogen synthase and PP1 subunit R5 were not substantially altered in tissue lysates from Lafora disease mice cast doubt on whether the two proteins are substrates of the laforinmalin complex in vivo42. This apparent inconsistency might be resolved by assuming a locally focused action of the laforin-malin complex, targeting glycogen synthase and PP1 subunit R5 only on a small subgroup of glycogen molecules that are especially prone to precipitation<sup>87</sup>. Such subcellular changes would not be detected if protein levels and activity are quantified in whole-tissue lysates<sup>42</sup>.

This theory is corroborated by the fact that normal glycogen is a heterogeneous mixture of macromolecules. The range of molecule sizes within one preparation spans at least one order of magnitude, and evidence exists that some molecules are composed of longer chains than others<sup>58,89</sup>. As long chains promote polyglucan insolubility, glycogen molecules might vary in their propensity to undergo precipitation (FIG. 3a,b). The differences between glycogen molecules are likely to be inherent to normal glycogen metabolism and could be caused by subcellular inhomogeneity of constituents that affect local glycogen synthase activity, including the enzyme itself, the allosteric activator glucose 6-phosphate and



Fig. 2 | **Impaired glycogen metabolism in Lafora disease. a** | The chemical basis of the polyglucans glycogen and starch. Glucan chains are formed by chain-elongating enzymes that incorporate glucosyl residues at terminal glucosyl C4 carbons of pre-existing chains, forming  $\alpha$ -1,4 glycosidic linkages. Branching points are introduced by branching enzymes that cleave part of an existing chain, which is then reattached to form an  $\alpha$ -1,6 glycosidic linkage. **b** | Laforin and malin act as a complex to prevent the accumulation of insoluble glycogen. In the absence of functional laforin or malin, normally soluble glycogen contains abnormally long chains and precipitates and aggregates as Lafora bodies, which drive Lafora disease progression. **c** | Glycogen metabolism. Glycogen is synthesized from glycogenin-containing glycogen primers by the concerted action of glycogen synthase (GS) and glycogen branching enzyme (GBE). This well-balanced reaction determines glycogen metabolism. AGL, glycogen debranching enzyme; GAA, lysosomal  $\alpha$ -glucosidase; GP, glycogen phosphorylase. Part **a** adapted with permission from REF.<sup>58</sup>, Elsevier. Part **c** adapted with permission from REF.<sup>58</sup>, CC-BY-4.0.

the substrate UDP-glucose. Subcellular inhomogeneity has been demonstrated for a number of metabolites, including ATP, reduced NADH and glucose<sup>90–92</sup>.

Through its CBM20, laforin preferentially binds polyglucans composed of longer glucan chains. This fact is illustrated by the predilection of laforin for solubilized potato starch over glycogen with respect to substrate binding<sup>51</sup> and is consistent with the strong enrichment of laforin in Lafora bodies in malin-deficient Lafora disease mice93. Via its interaction with laforin, malin is likely to be sequestered preferentially to glycogen molecules with a larger proportion of long chains (FIG. 3a), where it ubiquitylates glycogen synthase and PP1 subunit R5 for subsequent degradation. Accordingly, chain elongation would be specifically decreased at glycogen molecules with an increased probability of precipitation, leading to a localized relative increase in branching frequency. This phenomenon would result in shorter chains, higher solubility and, through avoidance of precipitation, availability of these glycogen molecules for normal glycogen degradation<sup>87</sup> (FIG. 3c). In addition to this putative role of the laforin-malin complex in avoiding glycogen insolubility, laforin removes glycogen phosphate to allow complete glycogen degradation by glycogen phosphorylase and glycogen debranching enzyme<sup>80</sup>.

In addition to the strategy of preventing the formation of glycogen with a high risk of precipitation, the laforinmalin complex could be involved in an autophagic process to remove abnormal or already insoluble glycogen. Starchbinding domain-containing protein 1 (STBD1) anchors glycogen to intracellular membranes and also interacts with autophagic proteins94. STBD1 could have a role in targeting malstructured glycogen to lysosomal degradation, although its function does not seem to be impaired in Lafora disease. Cell culture experiments have shown that the laforin-malin complex interacts with p62 (also known as sequestosome 1), an autophagy-related adaptor protein that binds ubiquitylated proteins that are targeted to autophagy68. Lafora body-containing tissue does not always exhibit a general defect in autophagy, and if present, this defect seems to be secondary to glycogen accumulation<sup>17,43,45,80,95</sup>. However, it is conceivable that in mammalian cells, several layers of glycogen quality control are established to avoid deposition and accumulation of abnormal glycogen. The first layer is avoidance of glycogen precipitation through tight local regulation of glycogen synthase, with an evident role for the laforin-malin complex. A putative second layer would be the removal of abnormal and precipitated glycogen, also possibly involving the laforin-malin complex. In the absence of a functional

#### Box 2 | Glycogen metabolism

Glycogen serves as a cellular store of energy and reduced carbon and is found in many tissues, including the brain. It is a heterogeneous mix of roughly spherical macromolecules, each composed of branched glucan chains with up to 55,000 glucosyl residues per molecule<sup>82</sup>. De novo synthesis of glycogen requires the formation of small glycogen primers. This process usually involves dimers of the protein glycogenin<sup>144</sup>, which mediate first autoglucosylation and then initial chain elongation through formation of  $\alpha$ -1,4 glycosidic linkages<sup>86</sup>. Subsequently, chain elongation is continued by glycogen synthase, which, like glycogen branching enzyme, which detaches the downstream portion of a glucan chain and reattaches it upstream at the same or a neighbouring chain through an  $\alpha$ -1,6 glycosidic linkage. In the cytosol, glycogen is degraded by glycogen phosphorylase removes glucosyl units from the end of the glycogen chains but is stalled by branching points, which are cleaved by AGL. Cytosolic glycogen degradation largely yields glucose 1-phosphate, which is available for glycolysis and other cellular pathways<sup>82,88</sup> (FIG. 2c).

laforin-malin complex, cellular glycogen quality control is impaired, with fatal consequences, as observed in Lafora disease.

#### **Diagnostic strategy**

Several factors, including the rare nature of the disease, cultural consanguinity, founder effects and limited treatment options, pose considerable challenges for clinicians and caregivers when working with patients with Lafora disease and their families. Diagnosis is usually based on clinical findings (described above), EEG abnormalities<sup>2,8,96</sup> with normal brain MRI<sup>97</sup>, and diastase-resistant periodic acid-Schiff (PAS-D)-positive skin biopsies, revealing the presence of Lafora bodies<sup>29,98</sup> (FIG. 4). As virtually all cases of Lafora disease can be attributed to pathogenic variants of either EPM2A or NHLRC1 (REFS<sup>20,22,23,99</sup>), targeted genetic testing is the gold standard to confirm the diagnosis. Genetic testing is not only less invasive than skin biopsy but is also more readily available and less expensive. Furthermore, skin biopsy testing is fraught with false positivity. The sweat glands where Lafora bodies form contain equally PAS-D-positive and visually impressive normal secretory contents that are frequently falsely identified as Lafora bodies owing to a lack of experience with the disease in most pathology laboratories98. False negativity of skin biopsy in patients with genetically confirmed Lafora disease has also been reported<sup>29</sup>. Therefore, clinicians should await genetic confirmation before disclosing the diagnosis to caregivers and — if appropriate and with the approval of the family — to the young patients.

A diagnosis of Lafora disease can cause severe psychological trauma to patients and their families, including but not limited to feelings of guilt and resentment, fear of younger siblings also becoming affected, and financial costs and concern regarding the availability of treatment options. Consequently, the diagnosis should be followed up with sustained, attentive genetic and psychological counselling and support.

#### **Current management strategies**

Currently, AEDs are the only available treatments that control the severity and frequency of seizures and myoclonus to some degree in patients with Lafora disease. Among these drugs, valproic acid is the mainstay. Other effective medications include topiramate, ethosuximide, phenobarbital, zonisamide, felbamate and benzodiazepines. Most recently, perampanel, a new  $\alpha$ -amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionic acid (AMPA) receptor antagonist AED, was shown to be effective in two single-case studies and a group of ten patients<sup>100–102</sup>.

Besides AEDs, vagal nerve stimulation resulted in temporary cessation of generalized tonic–clonic seizures and status epilepticus in two single-case studies<sup>103,104</sup>. The ketogenic diet was also tried in a group of patients with relatively advanced disease but was shown to be ineffective<sup>99</sup>. This finding was surprising given that the diet converts brain energy usage from glucose to fatty acids, thus presumably reducing the neuronal glucose availability for glycogen (and Lafora body) synthesis. Unpublished work from our laboratory did show the effectiveness of this diet in a Lafora disease mouse model, and the possibility remains that the failure in the clinical setting was attributable to the overly advanced disease in the treated patients rather than to actual ineffectiveness.

In 2016, the European Commission granted orphan designation and permission to use metformin for the treatment of Lafora disease (European Medicines Agency orphan decision number EU/3/16/1803). Metformin is an activator of AMPK and is widely used to treat type 2 diabetes<sup>105</sup>. AMPK activation is associated with the inhibition of several ATP-consuming pathways, including glycogen synthesis<sup>106,107</sup>. In mice and rats, metformin was shown to have positive effects on neuronal survival and seizure termination<sup>108–110</sup>. Studies in a mouse model of Lafora disease showed that metformin ameliorated neuropathological symptoms, reduced seizure susceptibility and slightly reduced the numbers of Lafora bodies<sup>111,112</sup>. No clinical data are yet available regarding the efficacy of metformin as a treatment for Lafora disease.

The dietary supplement sodium selenate has been shown to reduce neurodegeneration, gliosis, seizure susceptibility and memory loss in a mouse model of Lafora disease<sup>113</sup>. However, a gradual decline in overall motor conditioning following an initial improvement in the treated mice raised doubts about the efficacy of the drug as a potential treatment for Lafora disease.

Aminoglycoside antibiotics, such as gentamicin, can suppress translation termination at premature termination codons<sup>114,115</sup> and could be repositioned for potential use in patients with Lafora disease who have nonsense mutations. However, clinical studies involving patients with cystic fibrosis, Duchenne muscular dystrophy or McArdle disease resulted in various outcomes<sup>115</sup>. At best, only subpopulations of patients benefited from the treatment<sup>116–119</sup>, and the use of aminoglycosides is also limited by adverse effects<sup>120,121</sup>. No preclinical data are available for the use of gentamicin to treat Lafora disease.

## The road to new therapies Virus-mediated gene replacement

As discussed above, Lafora disease is primarily a neurodegenerative disease, and the symptoms are caused by Lafora body accumulation in the brain due to deficiency in either laforin or malin<sup>87</sup>. Gene therapy to deliver a functional copy of the defective gene would thus be an obvious option for Lafora disease treatment (FIG. 5).



Fig. 3 | **Mechanistic model for Lafora body formation and accumulation. a** | Glycogen is a heterogeneous mixture of molecules that differ in their long glucan chain content and possess different risks of precipitation. To prevent precipitation, a small proportion of molecules that are precipitation-prone (circled in red) might be modified by local reduction of chain elongation, which is achieved by the mechanism explained in part c. This modification leads to an increased relative branching frequency and a decreased risk of precipitation (horizontal arrow). **b** | If glycogen precipitation cannot be entirely prevented, precipitated glycogen accumulates over time, as observed in Lafora disease mice of different ages. Arrows indicate some typical Lafora bodies in diastase-resistant periodic acid–Schiff-stained sections of mouse hippocampus. **c** | A functional laforin–malin complex mediates a reduction in glycogen synthase (GS) activity by targeting GS and protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) subunit R5 to degradation, resulting in an increased branching frequency. Rather than affecting the entire GS protein population, this process might occur locally, that is, predominantly on the small proportion of glycogen molecules with a high risk of precipitation (red circle in part **a**). Parts **a** and **c** adapted with permission from REF.<sup>87</sup>, CC-BY-4.0.

Undoubtedly, gene therapy has considerably advanced the development of treatments for hereditary diseases. Several strategies to introduce a transgene have been developed, including the use of viral vectors, such as adeno-associated virus (AAV)<sup>122</sup> and lentivirus<sup>123</sup>. Other delivery methods, using nanoparticles, liposomes and exosomes, have also been established<sup>124,125</sup>.

AAV has become the vector of choice for gene replacement, owing to its non-pathogenic nature, fairly widespread transduction, long-lasting and high transgene expression, and very low frequency of integration into the host genome<sup>122,123</sup>. Although packing capacity is a limiting factor for AAV-mediated gene delivery<sup>122</sup>, cDNA sequences of *EPM2A* and *NHLRC1* are below the size limit and can, therefore, be delivered using this vector. The greatest hurdle to AAV-mediated delivery of these genes is the transduction efficiency. As Lafora bodies form throughout the brain, widespread CNS transduction is paramount. The transduction efficiency has been improved through the use of serotypes with high neuronal transduction efficiency, such as AAV9<sup>123</sup>, or modified serotypes, such as AAV-PHP.eB or AAV-PHP.S<sup>126</sup>.

AAV9 is known to pass through the blood-brain barrier (BBB)<sup>127</sup>, which makes intravenous delivery possible. However, vector loss through high off-target transduction (mainly accumulation in the liver) is a concern with systemic delivery<sup>123</sup>. Direct CNS delivery for example, intrathecal administration — would circumvent this problem and enable relatively high transduction throughout the CNS. Intrathecal delivery is considered fairly safe and is routinely used to deliver various drugs to the CNS<sup>128,129</sup>. Delivery via the cerebrospinal fluid might also circumvent loss of the virus by neutralizing antibodies<sup>130</sup>. As AAV infects humans naturally, the pre-existence of neutralizing antibodies leads to reduced transgene expression when the vector is delivered systemically<sup>131,132</sup>. In addition, despite the low immunogenicity of AAV, efficient therapy can only be accomplished once<sup>133</sup>.

A clinical trial using intrathecal AAV administration is ongoing for Batten disease, a late infantile neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis, and is likely to establish a proof of concept for this delivery method in AAV therapy for CNS disorders. Several other clinical trials are ongoing in CNS disorders<sup>123</sup>. In Lafora disease, early intervention is likely to be the best option; in metachromatic leukodystrophy<sup>134</sup> and adrenoleukodystrophy<sup>135</sup>, gene therapy has been shown to arrest neurodegeneration if administered before extensive CNS damage has occurred.

#### Degradation of Lafora bodies

Another therapeutic option for Lafora disease is the degradation of accumulated Lafora bodies (FIG. 5). This goal could be achieved by the delivery of a polyglucandegrading enzyme to the brain. For instance,  $\alpha$ -amylase is known to break the  $\alpha$ -1,4 glycosidic linkages in glycogen and starch and thus could mediate Lafora

body degradation. Efficient delivery strategies are essential to effectively introduce the Lafora-body-degrading protein into the cells that harbour these inclusions. Fused to a non-toxic form of diphtheria toxin,



С

FCG



Fig. 4 | **Diagnosis of Lafora disease. a** | A 16 s EEG trace in an awake patient with Lafora disease (compound heterozygous for *EPM2A*: Arg241X and Pro211Leu) at age 20 years, 5 years into the disease. Note the slow background rhythms and generalized irregular spike–wave discharges associated with myoclonus. At this age, the patient was still conversant, but each thought and sentence was interrupted by myoclonic absences. **b** | EEG in the same patient at age 28 years in an unresponsive wakeful state. Grey shaded areas in parts **a** and **b** denote patient movements: 1, arm jerk; 2, head jerk; 3, head and arm jerk; 4, twitch; and 5, eyes upward and blinking. **c** | Skin biopsy in the same patient at age 20 years. The equally stained structures near the lumina of the glands are not Lafora bodies but the normal secretory materials of these cells. Owing to a lack of experience with the disease, many laboratories mistake these materials for Lafora bodies, leading to false-positive diagnoses. ECG, electrocardiogram.

 $\alpha$ -amylase can be translocated into cells, is active and can degrade intracellular glycogen<sup>136</sup>. Among the vast variety of  $\alpha$ -amylases that are present in different species, an enzyme that both effectively degrades insoluble polyglucans (such as Lafora bodies) under cytosolic conditions and provokes a minimal immune reaction should be selected.

## Reducing brain glycogen synthesis

One of the most promising therapeutic avenues for Lafora disease is the reduction of brain glycogen synthesis (FIG. 5). Partial or full removal of glycogen [starch] synthase, muscle (GYS1) — the glycogen synthase isoform that is expressed in the brain - in Lafora disease mouse models prevented Lafora body formation and led to rescue of the neurological phenotype. These findings imply that Lafora disease could be prevented by inhibiting glycogen synthesis, and that inhibition by ~50% might be sufficient to halt the progression of the disease43,137,138. Patients with lossof-function GYS1 mutations, including those with complete loss of GYS1 (GSD0b, OMIM #611556), experience cardiac problems, epilepsy and exercise intolerance<sup>139-141</sup>. However, no health problems were reported in parents of these individuals in whom GYS1 levels were reduced to 50%<sup>140,141</sup>. Gys1-null mice have only 10% survival, with pups dying perinatally owing to cardiac dysfunction. However, the mice that do survive have apparently normal heart function, and heterozygous mice, with ~50% GYS1 levels, have no reported health issues142.

Another more indirect way to prevent glycogen synthesis is to decrease glycogen synthase activity by reducing levels of PP1 subunit R5, an indirect activator of this enzyme. Removal of PP1 subunit R5 in Lafora disease mice almost fully prevented Lafora body formation, and rescued neurodegeneration and the subsequent myoclonic epilepsy phenotype<sup>38,44</sup>. However, PP1 subunit R5 is only one of several PP1 subunits that promote glycogen activation55,65, and removal of this subunit led to a substantial reduction in but not a complete absence of Lafora bodies. In addition, PP1 subunit R5 promotes inactivation of glycogen phosphorylase, which mediates glycogen degradation<sup>76</sup>; therefore, the absence of this subunit might increase glycogen degradation. Targeting of other PP1 subunits in addition to the R5 subunit could be beneficial.

In principle, glycogenin 1 (encoded by the *GYG1* gene) could also be considered as a therapeutic target for Lafora disease owing to its function as the primer for gly-cogen synthesis<sup>143</sup>. However, data concerning the *Gyg1*-null mouse model and patients with loss-of-function mutations in *GYG1* (GSDXV, OMIM #613507) suggest that glycogenin 1 depletion leads to glycogen accumulation, cardiomyopathy and muscle weakness. Therefore, this protein might not be the best therapeutic target for Lafora disease<sup>144-146</sup>.

*Antisense oligonucleotides.* Antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs) are emerging as an excellent therapy platform, and though not a new concept<sup>147</sup>, ASO therapies have made substantial progress in recent years<sup>148,149</sup>. ASOs are



Fig. 5 | **Overview of therapeutic strategies in Lafora disease.** In addition to the viral delivery of functional laforin or malin, the therapeutic options for Lafora disease include intracellular degradation of Lafora bodies by glucan-degrading enzymes such as  $\alpha$ -amylase, as well as the downregulation of glycogen synthesis by targeting glycogen synthase (GS) or protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) subunit R5 at the gene, RNA or protein level. ASOs, antisense oligonucleotides; RNAi, RNA interference.

short synthetic nucleic acids that are chemically modified to increase their stability in biological fluids and potency in binding to the target mRNA<sup>147</sup>. ASOs function in several different ways, one of which entails Watson–Crick base pairing with the target mRNA, leading to RNase H-mediated target degradation<sup>148</sup>.

The use of ASO therapy to target the mRNA encoding GYS1, PP1 subunit R5 and/or other PP1 subunits in the brain is a viable option for the treatment of Lafora disease (FIG. 5). As ASOs do not cross the BBB, direct CNS delivery is required in Lafora disease, as for other neurological diseases<sup>150</sup>. Intrathecally delivered ASOs spread widely throughout the brain and spinal cord in mice, rats and nonhuman primates<sup>150-154</sup>. However, ASOs require repeated administration to maintain therapeutic levels because they gradually degrade over time<sup>155</sup>.

ASO therapy targeting the splicing of the *SMN2* gene is already prescribed to patients with spinal muscular atrophy<sup>156,157</sup>, and ASO drugs have also been developed for non-neurological diseases<sup>158</sup>. Clinical trials are ongoing to develop ASO therapies in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (targeting *SOD1* and *C9orf72*), Huntington disease (targeting *HTT*), tauopathies (targeting *MAPT*) and Alzheimer disease (targeting *APP*)<sup>149</sup>.

RNA interference. RNA interference (RNAi) is another potential option for post-transcriptional suppression of Lafora disease-related therapeutic targets (FIG. 5). RNAi is achieved by the delivery of artificial short RNAs, such as microRNAs (miRNAs) and short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs), which are designed to pair with their target mRNA, leading to target degradation<sup>159</sup>. Artificial miRNAs and shRNAs are recognized by the short-RNA-processing machinery of the cell and are processed as endogenous small RNAs160. RNAi cell toxicity is a concern, as the endogenous RNA-processing machinery might become saturated<sup>159</sup>. In addition, an expression vector (AAV) and direct CNS delivery are required for wide CNS distribution. Regarding neurodegenerative disease, good results have been achieved with RNAi-mediated gene knockdown in Huntington disease<sup>161-163</sup>.

*Genome engineering.* Another way to reduce the levels of glycogen synthase and/or glycogen-targeting subunits of PP1 is to modify the genes that encode these proteins (FIG. 5). The recent development of CRISPR-Cas9 as a biotechnological tool has substantially facilitated genome engineering, and this system is now being harnessed to edit the mammalian genome<sup>164,165</sup>.

A commonly used application of CRISPR–Cas9 is a target gene knockout whereby Cas9 introduces doublestranded DNA breaks (DSBs) adjacent to a guide RNA (sgRNA) recognition sequence, known as a protospacer adjacent motif. DSBs are repaired by non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ), leading to permanent indel formation and a non-functional protein<sup>164–166</sup>. This strategy is applicable to postmitotic cells, such as neurons, in which NHEJ is the preferred DNA repair pathway<sup>167,168</sup>, and the system has been successfully applied for target gene knockout in the mouse brain<sup>169–172</sup>.

CRISPR–Cas9-mediated therapy requires AAVmediated delivery of Cas9 and sgRNA to the CNS. AAV transduction efficiency again determines which cells express Cas9. In addition, only a proportion of indels lead to biallelic mutation and a non-functional protein, which further decreases the overall efficiency. Other possible downsides include off-target effects, as partial sgRNA sequence similarity might lead to alterations in non-targeted genes, and an immune response provoked by permanently expressed bacterial Cas9 protein.

Technically, correction of laforin or malin at the gene level is possible. A study has shown successful alteration of single DNA bases using the CRISPR–Cas9 system<sup>173</sup>. However, in conditions such as Lafora disease where several different mutations in the associated gene(s) have been identified, base correction therapy would have to be individualized for each patient and would, therefore, be extremely expensive.

*Small-molecule therapies.* A small-molecule therapy for Lafora disease would provide the least invasive option for the patient, as administration would be in the form of a digestible pill. Small molecules cannot replace the function of laforin or malin at the gene level but could affect the metabolic pathway that leads to the development of Lafora bodies. For instance, inhibitors of glycogen synthase or the PP1 subunits could prove to be effective therapeutics (FIG. 5).

Identification of small molecules requires highthroughput screening of thousands of molecules and development of in vitro assays to measure the target response. A high-throughput screening assay has been developed to identify small molecules that inhibit glycogen synthase activity to treat adult polyglucosan body disease, a form of GBE deficiency<sup>174</sup>. Inhibitory small molecules were successfully identified using an ex vivo cell-based assay and could potentially provide a therapy for glycogen storage diseases, including Lafora disease. Glycogen synthase inhibition has already been shown to be effective in glycogen storage diseases. Rapamycin, a tuberculosis drug, was found to lower the levels of polyglucosans and glycogen by interfering with the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway, which is known to be disrupted in other epilepsies<sup>175,176</sup>.

To treat neurological disorders, the BBB usually needs to be crossed, which presents a tremendous challenge. To address this issue, repeated direct and invasive CNS delivery might be required. The target specificity of small molecules is also a potential challenge, and adverse events caused by off-target effects could limit the long-term utility of these therapies.

#### **Conclusions and future prospects**

Common genetic epilepsies tend to be comparatively mild and genetically complex, whereas rare genetic epilepsies are generally severe and genetically simple. The severity of these conditions usually prevents procreation and generational spread, which partially accounts for their rarity. However, until general prenatal or preconceptual screening becomes available, Lafora disease and similar neurodegenerative conditions are likely to persist.

One positive aspect of Lafora disease is its monogenicity, which could permit curative gene replacement in the coming years. Hyperelongation of glycogen chains lies at the root of the disease, and as reducing an activity is generally simpler than replacing a function, therapies aimed at reducing brain glycogen synthesis to mitigate and perhaps reverse the disease are relatively close at hand. Interventions could act at the DNA, RNA or protein level to target a number of enzymes that contribute to glycogen synthesis.

Owing to the considerable progress in Lafora disease research over the past few years, this condition is likely to become treatable before many of the other severe epilepsies. In addition, the insights into laforin and malin function that are emerging from this research are uncovering previously unsuspected roles for these proteins in glycogen metabolism. Further genetic studies in patients with Lafora disease genes are expected to teach us more about the body's main energy store and the bioenergetics of brain function.

Published online: 24 August 2018

- Delgado-Escueta, A. V., Ganesh, S. & Yamakawa, K. Advances in the genetics of progressive myoclonus epilepsy. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* **106**, 129–138 (2001).
- Girard, J. M., Turnbull, J., Ramachandran, N. & Minassian, B. A. Progressive myoclonus epilepsy. Handb. Clin. Neurol. 113, 1731–1736 (2013).
- Lafora, G. R. & Glueck, B. Beitrag zur Histopathologie der myoklonischen Epilepsie [German]. Z. Gesamte Neurol. Psychiatr. 6, 1–14 (1911).
- Sakai, M., Austin, J., Witmer, F. & Trueb, L. Studies in myoclonus epilepsy (Lafora body form). II. Polyglucosans in systemic deposits of myoclonus epilepsy and in corpora amylacea. *Neurology* 20, 160–176 (1970).
- Minassian, B. A. et al. Mutations in a gene encoding a novel protein tyrosine phosphatase cause progressive myoclonus epilepsy. *Nat. Genet.* 20, 171–174 (1998).
- Serratosa, J. M. et al. A novel protein tyrosine phosphatase gene is mutated in progressive myoclonus epilepsy of the Lafora type (EPM2). *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 8, 345–352 (1999).
- Chan, E. M. et al. Mutations in *NHLRC1* cause progressive myoclonus epilepsy. *Nat. Genet.* 35, 125–127 (2003).
- Shahwan, A., Farrell, M. & Delanty, N. Progressive myoclonic epilepsies: a review of genetic and therapeutic aspects. *Lancet Neurol.* 4, 239–248 (2005).
- Madhavan, D. & Kuzniecky, R. I. Lafora disease. *Rev. Neurol. Dis.* 3, 131–135 (2006).
- Minassian, B. A. et al. Mutation spectrum and predicted function of laforin in Lafora's progressive myoclonus epilepsy. *Neurology* 55, 341–346 (2000).
- Minassian, B. A. Lafora's disease: towards a clinical, pathologic, and molecular synthesis. *Pediatr. Neurol.* 25, 21–29 (2001).
- Singh, S. & Ganesh, S. Lafora progressive myoclonus epilepsy: a meta-analysis of reported mutations in the first decade following the discovery of the *EPM2A* and *NHLRC1* genes. *Hum. Mutat.* **30**, 715–723 (2009).
- Ianzano, L. et al. Lafora progressive myoclonus epilepsy mutation database — EPM2A and NHLRC1 (EPM2B) genes. *Hum. Mutat.* 26, 397 (2005).
- Fernández-Sánchez, M. E. et al. Laforin, the dualphosphatase responsible for Lafora disease, interacts with R5 (PTG), a regulatory subunit of protein phosphatase-1 that enhances glycogen accumulation. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 12, 3161–3171 (2003).
- Raththagala, M. et al. Structural mechanism of laforin function in glycogen dephosphorylation and lafora disease. *Mol. Cell* 57, 261–272 (2015).
- Gayarre, J. et al. The phosphatase activity of laforin is dispensable to rescue Epm2a<sup>--</sup> mice from Lafora disease. Brain 137, 806–818 (2014).
- Nitschke, F. et al. Abnormal glycogen chain length pattern, not hyperphosphorylation, is critical in Lafora disease. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 9, 906–917 (2017).
- 18. Ganesh, S. et al. Genotype–phenotype correlations for EPM2A mutations in Lafora's progressive myoclonus

epilepsy: exon 1 mutations associate with an earlyonset cognitive deficit subphenotype. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **11**, 1263–1271 (2002).

- Roma-Mateo, C. et al. Lafora disease E3-ubiquitin ligase malin is related to TRIM32 at both the phylogenetic and functional level. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 11, 225 (2011).
- Gómez-Abad, C. et al. Lafora disease due to EPM2B mutations: a clinical and genetic study. Neurology 64, 982–986 (2005).
- Franceschetti, S. et al. Clinical and genetic findings in 26 Italian patients with Lafora disease. *Epilepsia* 47, 640–643 (2006).
- Lohi, H., Chan, E. M., Scherer, S. W. & Minassian, B. A. On the road to tractability: the current biochemical understanding of progressive myoclonus epilepsies. *Adv. Neurol.* **97**, 399–415 (2006).
- Singh, S. et al. Novel NHLRC1 mutations and genotype—phenotype correlations in patients with Lafora's progressive myoclonic epilepsy. J. Med. Genet. 43, e48 (2006).
- Chan, E. M. et al. Progressive myoclonus epilepsy with polyglucosans (Lafora disease): evidence for a third locus. *Neurology* 63, 565–567 (2004).
- Lohi, H. et al. Genetic diagnosis in Lafora disease: genotype–phenotype correlations and diagnostic pitfalls. *Neurology* 68, 996–1001 (2007).
- 26. Turnbull, J. et al. Early-onset Lafora body disease. Brain **135**, 2684–2698 (2012).
- Gómez-Abad, C. et al. Founder effect with variable age at onset in Arab families with Lafora disease and *EPM2A* mutation. *Epilepsia* 48, 1011–1014 (2007).
- Annesi, G. et al. A novel exon 1 mutation in a patient with atypical lafora progressive myoclonus epilepsy seen as childhood-onset cognitive deficit. *Epilepsia* 45, 294–295 (2004).
- Lesca, G. et al. Novel mutations in *EPM2A* and *NHLRC1* widen the spectrum of Lafora disease. *Epilepsia* 51, 1691–1698 (2010).
- Baykan, B. et al. Late-onset and slow-progressing Lafora disease in four siblings with EPM2B mutation *Epilepsia* 46, 1695–1697 (2005).
- Ferlazzo, E. et al. Mild Lafora disease: clinical, neurophysiologic, and genetic findings. *Epilepsia* 55, e129–e133 (2014).
- Traoré, M. et al. Novel mutation in the NHLRC1 gene in a Malian family with a severe phenotype of Lafora disease. *Neurogenetics* 10, 319–323 (2009).
- Brackmann, F. A., Kiefer, A., Agaimy, A., Gencik, M. & Trollmann, R. Rapidly progressive phenotype of Lafora disease associated with a novel NHLRC1 mutation. Pediatr. Neurol. 44, 475–477 (2011).
- Kecmanovic, M. et al. Lafora disease: severe phenotype associated with homozygous deletion of the *NHLRC1* gene. *J. Neurol. Sci.* **325**, 170–173 (2013).
- Jara-Prado, A. et al. Late onset Lafora disease and novel EPM2A mutations: breaking paradigms. *Epilepsy Res.* **108**, 1501–1510 (2014).
- Singh, S. & Ganesh, S. Phenotype variations in Lafora progressive myoclonus epilepsy: possible involvement

of genetic modifiers? J. Hum. Genet. 57, 283–285 (2012).

- Guerrero, R. et al. A PTG variant contributes to a milder phenotype in Lafora disease. *PLoS ONE* 6, e21294 (2011).
- Turnbull, J. et al. PTG depletion removes Lafora bodies and rescues the fatal epilepsy of Lafora disease. *PLoS Genet.* 7, e1002037 (2011).
- Spillantini, M. G. et al. α-Synuclein in Lewy bodies. Nature 388, 839–840 (1997).
- Nuovo, G. et al. Increased expression of importin-β, exportin-5 and nuclear transportable proteins in Alzheimer's disease aids anatomic pathologists in its diagnosis. *Ann. Diagn. Pathol.* 32, 10–16 (2018).
- Ganesh, S. et al. Targeted disruption of the *Epm2a* gene causes formation of Lafora inclusion bodies, neurodegeneration, ataxia, myoclonus epilepsy and impaired behavioral response in mice. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 11, 1251–1262 (2002).
- DePaoli-Roach, A. A. et al. Genetic depletion of the malin E3 ubiquitin ligase in mice leads to Lafora Bodies and the accumulation of insoluble laforin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 25372–25381 (2010).
- Duran, J., Gruart, A., Garcia-Rocha, M., Delgado-Garcia, J. M. & Guinovart, J. J. Glycogen accumulation underlies neurodegeneration and autophagy impairment in Lafora disease. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 23, 3147–3156 (2014).
- Turnbull, J. et al. PTG protein depletion rescues malin-deficient Lafora disease in mouse. *Ann. Neurol.* 75, 442–446 (2014).
- Duran, J. et al. Deleterious effects of neuronal accumulation of glycogen in flies and mice. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 4, 719–729 (2012).
- Komatsu, M. et al. Loss of autophagy in the central nervous system causes neurodegeneration in mice. *Nature* 441, 880–884 (2006).
- Hara, T. et al. Suppression of basal autophagy in neural cells causes neurodegenerative disease in mice. *Nature* 441, 885–889 (2006).
- McMahon, J. et al. Impaired autophagy in neurons after disinhibition of mammalian target of rapamycin and its contribution to epileptogenesis. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 15704–15714 (2012).
- Dias, D. M. et al. Biophysical characterization of laforin-carbohydrate interaction. *Biochem. J.* 473, 335–345 (2016).
- Christiansen, C. et al. The carbohydrate-binding module family 20 — diversity, structure, and function. *FEBS J.* 276, 5006–5029 (2009).
- Chan, E. M. et al. Laforin preferentially binds the neurotoxic starch-like polyglucosans, which form in its absence in progressive myoclonus epilepsy. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 13, 1117–1129 (2004).
- Zhang, W., DePaoli-Roach, A. A. & Roach, P. J. Mechanisms of multisite phosphorylation and inactivation of rabbit muscle glycogen synthase. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 304, 219–225 (1993).
- Lohi, H. et al. Novel glycogen synthase kinase 3 and ubiquitination pathways in progressive myoclonus epilepsy. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 14, 2727–2736 (2005).

- 54. Fontana, J. D. The presence of phosphate in glycogen FEBS Lett. 109, 85–92 (1980).
- 55. Worby, C. A., Gentry, M. S. & Dixon, J. E. Laforin, a dual specificity phosphatase that dephosphorylates complex carbohydrates. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 30412-30418 (2006).
- Tagliabracci, V. S. et al. Laforin is a glycogen 56 phosphatase, deficiency of which leads to elevated phosphorylation of glycogen in vivo. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 104, 19262–19266 (2007).
- 57. Tagliabracci, V. S. et al. Abnormal metabolism of glycogen phosphate as a cause for Lafora disease J. Biol. Chem. 283, 33816–33825 (2008)
- 58. Nitschke, F. et al. Hyperphosphorylation of glucosyl C6 carbons and altered structure of glycogen in the neurodegenerative epilepsy Lafora disease. *Cell Metab.* **17**, 756–767 (2013).
- 59 Roach, P. J. Glycogen phosphorylation and Lafora disease. Mol. Aspects Med. 46, 78-84 (2015). 60 Vernia, S., Rubio, T., Heredia, M., Rodriguez de
- Cordoba, S. & Sanz, P. Increased endoplasmic reticulum stress and decreased proteasomal function in lafora disease models lacking the phosphatase laforin. PLoS ONE 4, e5907 (2009).
- 61. Rao, S. N. et al. Sequestration of chaperones and proteasome into Lafora bodies and proteasomal dysfunction induced by Lafora disease-associated mutations of malin, Hum, Mol. Genet, 19. 4726-4734 (2010).
- Mittal, S., Dubey, D., Yamakawa, K. & Ganesh, S. 62. Lafora disease proteins malin and laforin are recruited to aggresomes in response to proteasomal impairment, Hum, Mol. Genet. 16, 753-762 (2007)
- Ganesh, S. et al. The Lafora disease gene product 63 laforin interacts with HIRIP5, a phylogenetically conserved protein containing a NIfU-like domain. Hum. Mol. Genet. 12, 2359-2368 (2003).
- Wang, Y. et al. Epm2a suppresses tumor growth in an immunocompromised host by inhibiting Wht signaling. 64 Cancer Cell 10, 179–190 (2006).
- 65. Worby, C. A., Gentry, M. S. & Dixon, J. E. Malin decreases glycogen accumulation by promoting the degradation of protein targeting to glycogen (PTG). J. Biol. Chem. 283, 4069–4076 (2008).
- Gentry, M. S., Roma-Mateo, C. & Sanz, P. Laforin, a protein with many faces: glucan phosphatase, adapter proteinet et alii. FEBS J. 280, 525-537 (2013).
- Solaz-Fuster, M. C. et al. Regulation of glycogen synthesis by the laforin-malin complex is modulated 67 by the AMP-activated protein kinase pathway. Hum. Mol. Genet. 17, 667-678 (2008).
- 68. Sanchez-Martin, P., Roma-Mateo, C., Viana, R. & Sanz, P. Ubiquitin conjugating enzyme E2-N and sequestosome-1 (p62) are components of the ubiquitination process mediated by the malin-laforin E3-ubiquitin ligase complex. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. **69**, 204–214 (2015).
- 69 Vilchez, D. et al. Mechanism suppressing glycogen synthesis in neurons and its demise in progressive myoclonus epilepsy. Nat. Neurosci. 10, 1407–1413 (2007).
- 70. Moreno, D., Towler, M. C., Hardie, D. G., Knecht, E. & Sanz, P. The laforin-malin complex, involved in Lafora disease, promotes the incorporation of K63-linked ubiquitin chains into AMP-activated protein kinase β subunits. Mol. Biol. Cell 21, . 2578–2588 (2010).
- Rubio-Villena, C., Garcia-Gimeno, M. A. & Sanz, P. 71. Glycogenic activity of R6, a protein phosphatase 1 regulatory subunit, is modulated by the laforin-malin complex. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 45, 1479-1488 (2013).
- 72. Deshaies, R. J. & Joazeiro, C. A. RING domain E3 ubiquitin ligases. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 78, 399-434 (2009).
- 73. Tan, J. M. et al. Lysine 63-linked ubiquitination promotes the formation and autophagic clearance of protein inclusions associated with neurodegenerative diseases. Hum. Mol. Genet. 17, 431-439 (2008)
- 74. Nathan, J. A., Kim, H. T., Ting, L., Gygi, S. P. & Goldberg, A. L. Why do cell proteins linked to K63polyubiquitin chains not associate with proteasomes? EMBO J. 32, 552-565 (2013).
- Sharma, J., Mulherkar, S., Mukherjee, D. & Jana, N. R. Malin regulates Wnt signaling pathway through 75. degradation of dishevelled2. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 6830–6839 (2012).
- 76. Fong, N. M. et al. Identification of binding sites on protein targeting to glycogen for enzymes of glycogen metabolism. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 35034–35039 (2000)

- Zeeman, S. C., Kossmann, J. & Smith, A. M. in In Annual 77 Review of Plant Biology Vol. 61 (eds Merchant, S. et al.) 209-234 (2010).
- 78. Gilbert, R. G. et al. Improving human health through understanding the complex structure of glucose polymers. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 405, 8969-8980 (2013)
- 79 Powell, P. O. et al. Extraction, isolation and characterisation of phytoglycogen from su-1 maize leaves and grain. Carbohydr. Polym. 101, 423-431 (2014).
- Irimia, J. M. et al. Muscle glycogen remodeling and 80 glycogen phosphate metabolism following exhaustive exercise of wild type and laforin knockout mice. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 22686-22698 (2015).
- Wu, A. C. & Gilbert, R. G. Molecular weight 81. distributions of starch branches reveal genetic constraints on biosynthesis. Biomacromolecules 11. 3539-3547 (2010).
- Manners, D. J. Recent developments in our understanding of glycogen structure. Carbohydr. Polym. 16, 37-82 (1991).
- 83 Buleon, A., Colonna, P., Planchot, V. & Ball, S. Starch granules: structure and biosynthesis. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 23, 85-112 (1998).
- 84. Umeki, K. & Kainuma, K. Fine structure of Naegeli amylodextrin obtained by acid treatment of defatted waxy-maize starch — structural evidence to support the double-helix hypothesis. Carbohydr. Res. 96, 143-159 (1981).
- 85 Bischof, S. et al. Cecropia peltata accumulates starch or soluble glycogen by differentially regulating starch biosynthetic genes. *Plant Cell* **25**, 1400–1415 (2013).
- 86 Fujita, N. et al. Elongated phytoglycogen chain length in transgenic rice endosperm expressing active starch synthase IIa affects the altered solubility and crystallinity of the storage α-glucan. J. Exp. Bot. 63, 5859–5872 (2012). Sullivan, M., Nitschke, S., Steup, M., Minassian, B. &
- 87. Nitschke, F. Pathogenesis of Lafora disease: transition of soluble glycogen to insoluble polyglucosan. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 18, E1743 (2017).
- Roach, P. J., Depaoli-Roach, A. A., Hurley, T. D. & 88 Tagliabracci, V. S. Glycogen and its metabolism: some new developments and old themes. Biochem. J. 441, 763–787 (2012).
- 89. Palmer, T. N., Macaskie, L. E. & Grewal, K. K. Spatialdistribution of unit chains in glycogen. *Carbohydr. Res.* **115**, 139–150 (1983).
- Petty, H. R., Worth, R. G. & Kindzelskii, A. L. Imaging 90. sustained dissipative patterns in the metabolism of individual living cells. Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2754-2757
- (2000). Rickey Welch, G. & Easterby, J. S. Metabolic channeling versus free diffusion: transition-time 91 analysis. Trends Biochem. Sci. 19, 193-197 (1994).
- Aw, T. Y. Intracellular compartmentation of organelles 92 and gradients of low molecular weight species. *Int. Rev. Cytol.* **192**, 223–253 (1999).
- Tiberia, E. et al. Increased laforin and laforin 93 binding to glycogen underlie Lafora body formation in malin-deficient Lafora disease. J. Biol. Chem. 287 25650-25659 (2012).
- Jiang, S. et al. Starch binding domain-containing protein 1/genethonin 1 is a novel participant 94. in glycogen metabolism. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 34960-34971 (2010)
- 95. Wang, P. et al. SGK1 (glucose transport), dishevelled2 (wnt signaling), LC3/p62 (autophagy) and p53 (apoptosis) proteins are unaltered in Lafora disease. All Results J. Biol. 7, 28–33 (2016).
- Khiari, H. M., Lesca, G., Malafosse, A. & Mrabet, A. A novel exon 3 mutation in a Tunisian patient with Lafora's disease. J. Neurol. Sci. 304, 136-137 (2011).
- 97. Villanueva, V., Alvarez-Linera, J., Gomez-Garre, P. Gutierrez, J. & Serratosa, J. M. MRI volumetry and proton MR spectroscopy of the brain in Lafora disease. *Epilepsia* **47**, 788–792 (2006).
- Andrade, D. M. et al. Skin biopsy in Lafora disease: 98. genotype-phenotype correlations and diagnostic pitfalls. Neurology 61, 1611-1614 (2003).
- 99 Cardinali, S. et al. A pilot study of a ketogenic diet in patients with Lafora body disease. Epilepsy Res. 69, 129-134 (2006).
- 100. Schorlemmer, K. et al. Sustained seizure remission on perampanel in progressive myoclonic epilepsy (Lafora disease). Epilepsy Behav. Case Rep. 1, 118-121 (2013)
- Dirani, M., Nasreddine, W., Abdulla, F. & Beydoun, A. 101 Seizure control and improvement of neurological dysfunction in Lafora disease with perampanel Epilepsy Behav. Case Rep. 2, 164-166 (2014).

- 102 Coldsmith D & Minassian B A Efficacy and tolerability of perampanel in ten patients with Lafora disease. Epilepsy Behav. 62, 132-135 (2016).
- 103. Hajnsek, S. et al. Vagus nerve stimulation in Lafora body disease. Epilepsy Behav. Case Rep. 1, 150-152 (2013)
- 104. Mikati, M. A. & Tabbara, F. Managing Lafora body disease with vagal nerve stimulation. Epilept. Disord. 19, 82-86 (2017).
- 105. Zhou, G. et al. Role of AMP-activated protein kinase in mechanism of metformin action. J. Clin. Invest. 108, 1167–1174 (2001).
- 106. Ronnett, G. V., Ramamurthy, S., Kleman, A. M., Landree, L. E. & Aja, S. AMPK in the brain: its roles in energy balance and neuroprotection. J. Neurochem. 109, 17-23 (2009).
- 107. Hardie, D. G. AMP-activated/SNF1 protein kinases: conserved guardians of cellular energy. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol. 8, 774–785 (2007).
- 108. Yang, Y. et al. Chronic metformin treatment facilitates seizure termination. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 484, 450-455 (2017).
- 109. Dulovic, M. et al. The protective role of AMP-activated protein kinase in alpha-synuclein neurotoxicity in vitro. Neurobiol. Dis 63, 1–11 (2014).
- 110. Ashabi, G., Khodagholi, F., Khalaj, L., Goudarzvand, M. & Nasiri, M. Activation of AMP-activated protein kinase by metformin protects against global cerebral ischemia in male rats: interference of AMPK/PGC-1a pathway. Metab. Brain Dis. 29, 47-58 (2014).
- 111. Berthier, A. et al. Pharmacological interventions to ameliorate neuropathological symptoms in a mouse model of Lafora disease. Mol. Neurobiol. 53. 1296–1309 (2016).
- 112. Sanchez-Elexpuru, G., Serratosa, J. M., Sanz, P. & Sanchez, M. P. 4-PBA and metformin decrease sensitivity to PTZ-induced seizures in a malin knockout model of Lafora disease. Neuroreport 28, 268-271 (2017).
- 113. Sanchez-Elexpuru, G., Serratosa, J. M. & Sanchez, M. P. Sodium selenate treatment improves symptoms and seizure susceptibility in a malin-deficient mouse model of Lafora disease. Epilepsia 58, 467-475 (2017)
- 114. Burke, J. F. & Mogg, A. E. Suppression of a nonsense mutation in mammalian cells in vivo by the aminoglycoside antibiotics G-418 and paromomycin. Nucleic Acids Res. 13, 6265-6272 (1985).
- Bolze, F., Mocek, S., Zimmermann, A. & Klingenspor, M. Aminoglycosides, but not PTC124 (Ataluren), rescue nonsense mutations in the leptin receptor and in luciferase reporter genes. Sci. Rep. 7, 1020 (2017).
- 116. Clancy, J. P. et al. Evidence that systemic gentamicin suppresses premature stop mutations in patients with cystic fibrosis. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 163, 1683-1692 (2001).
- 117. Wilschanski, M. et al. Gentamicin-induced correction of CFTR function in patients with cystic fibrosis and CFTR stop mutations. N. Engl. J. Med. 349, 1433-1441 (2003).
- 118. Politano, L. et al. Gentamicin administration in Duchenne patients with premature stop codon. Preliminary results. Acta Myol. 22, 15-21 (2003)
- 119. Schroers, A. et al. Gentamicin treatment in McArdle disease: failure to correct myophosphorylase deficiency. Neurology 66, 285-286 (2006).
- Wargo, K. A. & Edwards, J. D. Aminoglycoside-induced nephrotoxicity. *J. Pharm. Pract.* 27, 573–577 (2014).
   Guthrie, O. W. Aminoglycoside induced ototoxicity.
- Toxicology 249, 91–96 (2008).
- 122. Saraiva, J., Nobre, R. J. & de Almeida, L. P. Gene therapy for the CNS using AAVs: the impact of systemic delivery by AAV9. J. Control. Release 241, 94-109 (2016)
- 123. Choudhury, S. R. et al. Viral vectors for therapy of neurologic diseases. Neuropharmacology 120, 63-80 (2017)
- 124. Jan, A. T. et al. Perspective insights of exosomes in neurodegenerative diseases: a critical appraisal. Front. Aging Neurosci. 9, 317 (2017).
- 125. Duskey, J. T. et al. in International Review of Neurobiology 1-28 (Elsevier, 2017).
- 126. Chan, K. Y. et al. Engineered AAVs for efficient noninvasive gene delivery to the central and peripheral nervous systems. Nat. Neurosci. 20. 1172–1179 (2017).
- 127. Foust, K. D. et al. Intravascular AAV9 preferentially targets neonatal neurons and adult astrocytes.
- Nat. Biotechnol. 27, 59–65 (2009). 128. Bottros, M. M. & Christo, P. J. Current perspectives on intrathecal drug delivery. J. Pain Res. 7, 615-626 (2014).

- Haché, M. et al. Intrathecal injections in children with spinal muscular atrophy: nusinersen clinical trial experience. J. Child Neurol. 31, 899–906 (2016).
- 130. Gray, S. J., Kalburgi, S. N., McCown, T. J. & Samulski, R. J. Global CNS gene delivery and evasion of anti-AAV-neutralizing antibodies by intrathecal AAV administration in non-human primates. *Gene Ther.* 20, 450–459 (2013).
- Samaranch, L. et al. Adeno-associated virus serotype 9 transduction in the central nervous system of nonhuman primates. *Hum. Gene Ther.* 23, 382–389 (2011).
- 132. Gray, S. J. et al. Preclinical differences of intravascular AAV9 delivery to neurons and glia: a comparative study of adult mice and nonhuman primates. *Mol. Ther.* **19**, 1058–1069 (2011).
- 133. Bessis, N., GarciaCozar, F. J. & Boissier, M. C. Immune responses to gene therapy vectors: influence on vector function and effector mechanisms. *Gene Ther.* **11** (Suppl. 1), 510–517 (2004).
- 134. Biffi, A. et al. Lentiviral hematopoietic stem cell gene therapy benefits metachromatic leukodystrophy. *Science* **341**, 1233158 (2013).
- 135. Cartier, N. & Aubourg, P. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and hematopoietic stem cell gene therapy in X-linked adrenoleukodystrophy. *Brain Pathol.* **20**, 857–862 (2010).
- Auger, A. et al. Efficient delivery of structurally diverse protein cargo into mammalian cells by a bacterial toxin. *Mol. Pharm.* 12, 2962–2971 (2015).
- 137. Pederson, B. A. et al. Inhibiting glycogen synthesis prevents Lafora disease in a mouse model. *Ann. Neurol.* **74**, 297–300 (2013).
- Duran, J. & Guinovart, J. J. Brain glycogen in health and disease. *Mol. Aspects Med.* 46, 70–77 (2015).
- 139. Cameron, J. M. et al. Identification of a novel mutation in GYS1 (muscle-specific glycogen synthase) resulting in sudden cardiac death, that is diagnosable from skin fibroblasts. *Mol. Genet. Metab.* **98**, 378–382 (2009)
- Kollberg, G. et al. Cardiomyopathy and exercise intolerance in muscle glycogen storage disease
   N. Engl. J. Med. 357, 1507–1514 (2007).
- 141. Sukigara, S. et al. Muscle glycogen storage disease 0 presenting recurrent syncope with weakness and myalgia. *Neuromuscul. Disord.* 22, 162–165 (2012).
- Pederson, B. A. et al. Abnormal cardiac development in the absence of heart glycogen. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 24, 7179–7187 (2004).
- 143. Whelan, W. J. The initiation of glycogen synthesis. *Bioessays* **5**, 136–140 (1986).
- 144. Testoni, G. et al. Lack of glycogenin causes glycogen accumulation and muscle function impairment. *Cell Metab.* 26, 256–266. e4 (2017).
- Malfatti, E. et al. A new muscle glycogen storage disease associated with glycogenin-1 deficiency. *Ann. Neurol.* **76**, 891–898 (2014).
   Hedberg-Oldfors, C. et al. Polyglucosan myopathy and
- 146. Hedberg-Oldfors, C. et al. Polyglucosan myopathy and functional characterization of a novel GYG1 mutation. Acta Neurol. Scand. 137, 308–315 (2018).
- 147. Crooke, S. T. & Bennett, C. F. Progress in antisense oligonucleotide therapeutics. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 36, 107–129 (1996).
- Bennett, C. F. & Swayze, E. E. RNA targeting therapeutics: molecular mechanisms of antisense oligonucleotides as a therapeutic platform. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **50**, 259–293 (2010).
   Schoch, K. M. & Miller, T. M. Antisense
- 149. Schoch, K. M. & Miller, T. M. Antisense oligonucleotides: translation from mouse models to human neurodegenerative diseases. *Neuron* 94, 1056–1070 (2017).

- Smith, R. A. et al. Antisense oligonucleotide therapy for neurodegenerative disease. J. Clin. Invest. 116, 2290–2296 (2006).
- DeVos, S. L. et al. Antisense reduction of tau in adult mice protects against seizures. *J. Neurosci.* 33, 12887–12897 (2013).
- 12887–12887 (2013).
  152. Kordasiewicz, H. B. et al. Sustained therapeutic reversal of Huntington's disease by transient repression of huntingtin synthesis. *Neuron* 74, 1031–1044 (2012).
- 153. Lagier-Tourenne, C. et al. Targeted degradation of sense and antisense C9orf72 RNA foci as therapy for ALS and frontotemporal degeneration. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 110, E4550–E4539 (2013).
- 154. Passini, M. A. et al. Antisense oligonucleotides delivered to the mouse CNS ameliorate symptoms of severe spinal muscular atrophy. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 3, 72ra18 (2011).
- 155. Geary, R. S., Norris, D., Yu, R. & Bennett, C. F. Pharmacokinetics, biodistribution and cell uptake of antisense oligonucleotides. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 87, 46–51 (2015).
- 156. Hua, Y. et al. Antisense correction of SMN2 splicing in the CNS rescues necrosis in a type III SMA mouse model. *Genes Dev.* 24, 1634–1644 (2010).
- 157. Hua, Y., Vickers, T. A., Okunola, H. L., Bennett, C. F. & Krainer, A. R. Antisense masking of an hnRNP A1/A2 intronic splicing silencer corrects *SMN2* splicing in transgenic mice. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **82**, 834–848 (2008).
- Stein, C. A. & Castanotto, D. FDA-approved oligonucleotide therapies in 2017. *Mol. Ther.* 25, 1069–1075 (2017).
- Davidson, B. L. & McCray, P. B. Current prospects for RNA interference-based therapies. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 12, 329–340 (2011).
- 160. MacRae, I. J. et al. Structural basis for doublestranded RNA processing by Dicer. *Science* **311**, 195–198 (2006).
- McBride, J. L. et al. Preclinical safety of RNAimediated HTT suppression in the rhesus macaque as a potential therapy for Huntington's disease. *Mol. Ther.* 19, 2152–2162 (2011).
- 162. Harper, S. Q. et al. RNA interference improves motor and neuropathological abnormalities in a Huntington's disease mouse model. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 5820–5825 (2005).
- 163. Machida, Y. et al. rAAV-mediated shRNA ameliorated neuropathology in Huntington disease model mouse. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 343, 190–197 (2006).
- Cong, L. et al. Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. *Science* **339**, 819–823 (2013).
   Mali, P., Esvelt, K. M. & Church, G. M. Cas9 as a
- 165. Mali, P., Esvelt, K. M. & Church, G. M. Cas9 as a versatile tool for engineering biology. *Nat. Methods* **10**, 957–963 (2013).
- Doudna, J. A. & Charpentier, E. The new frontier of genome engineering with CRISPR–Cas9. Science 346, 1258096 (2014).
- Chapman, J. R., Taylor, M. R. & Boulton, S. J. Playing the end game: DNA double-strand break repair pathway choice. *Mol. Cell* 47, 497–510 (2012).
- 168. Rothkamm, K., Krüger, I., Thompson, L. H. & Löbrich, M. Pathways of DNA double-strand break repair during the mammalian cell cycle. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 23, 5706–5715 (2003).
- 169. Swiech, L. et al. In vivo interrogation of gene function in the mammalian brain using CRISPR–Cas9. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33**, 102–106 (2015).
- Platt, R. J. et al. CRISPR–Cas9 knockin mice for genome editing and cancer modeling. *Cell* 159, 440–455 (2014).

- 171. Shinmyo, Y. et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene knockout in the mouse brain using in utero electroporation. *Sci. Rep* 6, 20611 (2016).
- 172. Feng, W. et al. Chd7 is indispensable for mammalian brain development through activation of a neuronal differentiation programme. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 14758 (2017).
- 173. Komor, A. C., Kim, Y. B., Packer, M. S., Zuris, J. A. & Liu, D. R. Programmable editing of a target base in genomic DNA without double-stranded DNA cleavage. *Nature* 533, 420–424 (2016).
- 174. Solmesky, L. J. et al. A novel image-based highthroughput screening assay discovers therapeutic candidates for adult polyglucosan body disease. *Biochem. J.* 474, 3403–3420 (2017).
- 175. Kakhlon, O. et al. Polyglucosan neurotoxicity caused by glycogen branching enzyme deficiency can be reversed by inhibition of glycogen synthase. *J. Neurochem.* **127**, 101–113 (2013).
- 176. Ashe, K. M. et al. Inhibition of glycogen biosynthesis via mTORC1 suppression as an adjunct therapy for Pompe disease. *Mol. Genet. Metab.* **100**, 309–315 (2010).

#### Acknowledgements

The authors' research work, some of which is described in this Review, is funded by families and friends of the Chelsea's Hope Lafora Disease Research Fund, Associazione Italiana Lafora (AILA), France-Lafora, the Milana and Tatjana Gajic Lafora Disease Foundation, Genome Canada, the Ontario Brain Institute and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke of the NIH under award number PO1 NS097197. B.A.M. holds the University of Texas Southwestern Jimmy Elizabeth Westcott Chair in Pediatric Neurology. The authors thank S. Arnold (University of Texas Southwestern) for providing images of EEG and skin biopsy material from a patient with Lafora disease. This Review is dedicated to the memory of Adela Richer, a patient with Lafora disease who inspired this work and sadly passed away as the article was being completed.

#### Author contributions

F.N., S.J.A., S.N. and S.M. researched data for the article. F.N., S.N. and B.A.M. made substantial contributions to discussions of the content. All authors wrote the article and reviewed and/or edited the manuscript before submission.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Reviewer information

Nature Reviews Neurology thanks J. Guinovart and the other anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

#### **RELATED LINKS**

The Lafora Progressive Myoclonus Epilepsy Mutation and Polymorphism Database: http://projects.tcag.ca/lafora/ OMIM 254780: https://www.omim.org/

entry/254780?search=254780&highlight=254780 OMIM 611556: https://www.omim.org/

entry/611556?search=611556&highlight=611556

OMIM 613507: https://www.omim.org/ entry/613507?search=613507&highlight=613507

entry/613507?search=613507&highlight=613507 Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man: https://www.omim.org/